

The determination of chiroptical dissymmetry factors and electric- vs. magnetic-dipole transition amplitude ratios from circularly polarized excitation and emission measurements on racemic $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ in aqueous solution

David H. Metcalf and F.S. Richardson

Department of Chemistry University of Virginia, Charlottesville VA 22901 (USA)

Abstract

A combination of circularly polarized excitation and emission measurements is used to determine the chiroptical properties of $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes in aqueous solution (dpa \equiv dipicolinate dianion \equiv pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate). Intrinsic chiroptical dissymmetry factors are measured for four absorptive and three emissive transitions, and the results are used to calculate ratios of electric- versus magnetic-dipole transition amplitudes.

1. Introduction

In neutral aqueous solution, $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes (where dpa denotes a dipicolinate dianion) have chiral, tris-terdentate chelate structures that exist as a racemic mixture of interconverting optical isomers (enantiomers), $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ and $\Delta\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$. Enantiomer interconversion occurs via an intramolecular, ligand-rearrangement mechanism, and the unimolecular rate constant for $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-} \leftrightarrow \Delta\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ interconversion processes is *ca.* 20 s^{-1} at room temperature [1]. Excitation of racemic $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ with either left- or right-circularly polarized light produces ground- and excited-state populations that are non-racemic, and luminescence from the non-racemic excited-state population exhibits chiroptical activity. That is, the luminescence contains unequal amounts of left- and right-circularly polarized emission intensity. Measurements of this chiroptical activity, as a function of excitation wavelength and emission wavelength, provide a means for characterizing the intrinsic chiroptical properties of the $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes without having to chemically resolve and physically isolate the enantiomeric structures.

2. Experimental section

All experiments reported here were carried out on neutral aqueous solutions (at 20°C) that contained a 20 mM concentration of $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$. The solution samples were excited with either left- or right-circularly

polarized light of variable wavelength (λ), and both the left- and right-circularly polarized intensity components of sample luminescence were measured over a range of emission wavelengths (λ'). The excitation source was a tunable dye laser pumped by a Nd:YAG laser. The linearly polarized output of the dye laser was passed through a Fresnel rhomb of 1/4-wave retardation properties to produce a circularly polarized excitation beam. All experiments were performed using pulsed excitation and time-resolved emission detection techniques. The measured quantities of principal interest were the time-integrated left- and right-circularly polarized luminescence intensities (denoted here by \bar{I}_l and \bar{I}_r , respectively), observed as a function of emission wavelength (λ') and/or excitation wavelength (λ). The sums and differences of these quantities are generally referred to as total luminescence (TL) and circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) intensities, respectively:

$$\bar{I}(\lambda', \lambda_p) = \bar{I}_l(\lambda', \lambda_p) + \bar{I}_r(\lambda', \lambda_p) \quad (\text{TL}) \quad (1)$$

$$\overline{\Delta I}(\lambda', \lambda_p) = \bar{I}_l(\lambda', \lambda_p) - \bar{I}_r(\lambda', \lambda_p) \quad (\text{CPL}) \quad (2)$$

where the polarization state of the excitation wavelength is denoted by the subscript p (λ_+ for left and λ_- for right circularly polarized excitation). The ratio of CPL ($\overline{\Delta I}$) to TL (\bar{I}) intensity is used to define the dimensionless quantity

$$\bar{g}_{\text{em}}(\lambda', \lambda_p) = \frac{2\overline{\Delta I}(\lambda', \lambda_p)}{\bar{I}(\lambda', \lambda_p)} \quad (3)$$

which is called an emission dissymmetry factor.

3. Results and discussion

Measurements of $\overline{\Delta I}(\lambda', \lambda_p)$ as a function of λ_p (but at a fixed emission wavelength λ') yield mimics of circular dichroism (CD) spectra for $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes (if $p \equiv +$) and $\Delta\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes (if $p \equiv -$). Measurements of $\overline{\Delta I}(\lambda', \lambda_p)$ as a function of λ' (using a fixed excitation wavelength λ) yield mimics of the circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) spectra of $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes (if $p \equiv +$) and $\Delta\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes (if $p \equiv -$). Measurements of $\bar{g}_{\text{em}}(\lambda', \lambda_p)$ emission dissymmetry factors as a function of λ' and/or λ_p can provide information about the intrinsic absorption and emission dissymmetry factors of the complexes [1,2]. The relevant relationship is [2]

$$\bar{g}_{\text{em}}(\lambda', \lambda_{\pm}) = \pm 1/2 \left[\frac{k_0}{k_0 + 2k_c} \right] g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}(\lambda) g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}(\lambda') \quad (4)$$

where k_0 denotes the rate constant for decay of $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ luminescence, k_c denotes the rate constant for $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-} \leftrightarrow \Delta\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ enantiomer interconversion processes, $g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}(\lambda)$ is an intrinsic absorption dissymmetry factor characteristic of ground-state $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes, and $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}(\lambda')$ is an intrinsic emission dissymmetry factor characteristic of excited $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes. Recall from the definitions of chiroptical dissymmetry factors that $g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}(\lambda) = -g_{\text{ab}}^{\Delta}$ and $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}(\lambda') = -g_{\text{em}}^{\Delta*}$ [2–6]. Under the conditions employed in the present study, $k_0 = 620 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_c \approx 20 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and eqn. (4) may be re-written as

$$\bar{g}_{\text{em}}(\lambda', \lambda_{\pm}) \approx \pm 0.47 g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}(\lambda) g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}(\lambda') \quad (5)$$

Examples of $\overline{\Delta I}$ versus λ_p and $\overline{\Delta I}$ versus λ' spectra of $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes have been shown in several previous papers [1–3], and, due to space limitations, no spectra are shown here. Instead, we focus on results obtained from emission dissymmetry measurements carried out as a function of excitation and emission wavelength. These results are shown in Table 1. Intrinsic chiroptical dissymmetry factors are listed for four absorptive and three emissive transitions of $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$. The initial and final energy levels in these transitions are labelled according to their $2S+1LJ$ multiplet parentage and their symmetry in the D_3 point group.

In the types of measurements reported here, the magnitude and sign of g_{ab}^{Λ} (or $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}$) for any given transition, say $i \rightarrow j$ (or $i \leftarrow j$), are determined by the magnitudes and relative orientations of electric- and magnetic-dipole transition vectors. For the absorptive transition, $i \rightarrow j$, the relevant expression is [6]

$$g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}(i \rightarrow j) = \frac{4|\mathbf{P}_{ij}^{\Lambda}||\mathbf{M}_{ij}^{\Lambda}| \cos \theta_{ij}^{\Lambda}}{|\mathbf{P}_{ij}^{\Lambda}|^2 + |\mathbf{M}_{ij}^{\Lambda}|^2} = \frac{4R_{ij}^{\Lambda}}{D_{ij}^{\Lambda}} \quad (6)$$

TABLE 1. Intrinsic chiroptical dissymmetry factors and P_{ij}/M_{ij} ratios determined for ${}^7\text{F}_{0,1} \rightarrow {}^5\text{D}_{0,1}$ absorptive transitions and ${}^7\text{F}_{1,2} \leftarrow {}^5\text{D}_0$ emissive transitions of $\Lambda\text{-Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes in aqueous solution

Transition	Wavelength (nm) ^a	Dissymmetry factor		
		g_{ab}^{Λ}	$g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}$	$\frac{P_{ij}}{M_{ij}}$
${}^7\text{F}_0(\text{A}_1) \rightarrow {}^5\text{D}_1(\text{E})$	526.3	0.31		0.078 ^b
${}^7\text{F}_0(\text{A}_1) \rightarrow {}^5\text{D}_1(\text{A}_2)$	527.1	0.14		0.035 ^b
${}^7\text{F}_1(\text{A}_2) \leftrightarrow {}^5\text{D}_0(\text{A}_1)$	590.9	0.13	0.13	0.033 ^b
${}^7\text{F}_1(\text{E}) \leftrightarrow {}^5\text{D}_0(\text{A}_1)$	594.8	0.26	0.26	0.065 ^b
${}^7\text{F}_2(\text{E}) \leftarrow {}^5\text{D}_0(\text{A}_1)$	615.7		-0.06	67 ^c

^aAt maximum absorption or emission intensity of the corresponding transition. The dissymmetry factors are independent of wavelength within any given transition line profile. ^bCalculated from eqn. (11). ^cCalculated from the emission analogue of eqn. (10).

where $\mathbf{P}_{ij}^{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{ij}^{\Lambda}$ denote electric- and magnetic-dipole transition vectors, respectively; θ_{ij}^{Λ} is the angle between $\mathbf{P}_{ij}^{\Lambda}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{ij}^{\Lambda}$; the quantity $R_{ij}^{\Lambda} = |\mathbf{P}_{ij}^{\Lambda}||\mathbf{M}_{ij}^{\Lambda}| \cos \theta_{ij}^{\Lambda}$ is defined as a transition rotatory strength; and D_{ij}^{Λ} is the total (electric plus magnetic) dipole strength of the transition $i \rightarrow j$. An analogous expression can be written for $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}(i \leftarrow j)$. In axially symmetric systems, such as $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$, the electric- and magnetic-dipole transition vectors must be either parallel or anti-parallel (*i.e.* $\theta_{ij} = 0$ or 180°), and eqn. (6) may be evaluated to the form

$$g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}(i \rightarrow j) = \pm \frac{4P_{ij}M_{ij}}{(P_{ij})^2 + (M_{ij})^2} = \frac{4R_{ij}^{\Lambda}}{D_{ij}^{\Lambda}} \quad (7)$$

where the plus (+) sign corresponds to parallel transition vectors, the minus (-) sign corresponds to anti-parallel transition vectors, P_{ij} and M_{ij} represent the magnitudes (or lengths) of the transition vectors, and the superscript designation of enantiomer species (Λ or Δ) has been suppressed for all quantities that are invariant to $\Lambda \leftrightarrow \Delta$ transformations. (For Δ enantiomers, $\theta_{ij}^{\Delta} = \theta_{ij}^{\Lambda} + 180^\circ$, and, therefore, $g_{\text{ab}}^{\Delta}(i \rightarrow j) = -g_{\text{em}}^{\Delta*}(i \rightarrow j)$ and $R_{ij}^{\Delta} = -R_{ij}^{\Lambda}$).

From the above discussion, it is seen that the signs of the g_{ab}^{Λ} and $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}$ values listed in Table 1 provide direct information about the relative orientations of electric- and magnetic-dipole transition vectors associated with several optical absorption and emission processes in $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ complexes. Additionally, the magnitudes of the measured g_{ab}^{Λ} and $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}$ values can be correlated with ratios of electric- versus magnetic-dipole transition amplitudes (*i.e.* ratios of P_{ij} versus M_{ij}). For an absorptive transition ($i \rightarrow j$), this correlation is given by

$$\frac{1}{|g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|} = 1/4 \left[\frac{P_{ij}}{M_{ij}} + \frac{M_{ij}}{P_{ij}} \right] \quad (8)$$

and a similar expression can be written for the dissymmetry factor $|g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}|$ of an emissive transition ($i \leftarrow j$). Equation (8) may be reformulated to obtain a quadratic equation of the form

$$|g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|r^2 - 4r + |g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}| = 0 \quad \left(\text{where } r = \frac{P_{ij}}{M_{ij}} \right) \quad (9)$$

If $|g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}| = 2$ (the maximum value for a dissymmetry factor), this equation has just one solution, $r = 1$ (and, therefore, $P_{ij} = M_{ij}$). However, for any value of $|g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|$ less than 2, eqn. (9) has two solutions given by

$$r(P_{ij} > M_{ij}) = \frac{2 + (4 - |g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|^2)^{1/2}}{|g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|} \quad (10)$$

$$r(P_{ij} < M_{ij}) = \frac{2 - (4 - |g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|^2)^{1/2}}{|g_{\text{ab}}^{\Lambda}|} \quad (11)$$

It is known from polarized optical absorption and emission measurements on $\text{Eu}(\text{dpa})_3^{3-}$ in hexagonal crystals of $\text{Na}_3[\text{Yb}(\text{dpa})_3] \cdot \text{NaClO}_4 \cdot 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$ that all except one of the transitions listed in Table 1 exhibit predominantly magnetic-dipole character (*i.e.* $P_{ij} < M_{ij}$) [7]. The only exception is ${}^7\text{F}_2(\text{E}) \leftarrow {}^5\text{D}_0(\text{A}_1)$, which shows predominantly electric-dipole polarization properties. With this knowledge, one can make an informed choice between the use of either eqn. (10) or eqn. (11) in calculating P_{ij}/M_{ij} ratios from measured g_{ab}^{Λ} (or $g_{\text{em}}^{\Lambda*}$) dissymmetry factors. The results of these calculations are shown in the last column of Table 1. Note the decisively dominant electric-dipole character of the ${}^7\text{F}_2(\text{E}) \leftarrow {}^5\text{D}_0(\text{A}_1)$ transition, and the decisively dominant magnetic-dipole character of each of the other transitions listed.

The P_{ij}/M_{ij} ratios given in Table 1 may be used to further calculate the percentage of electric- or magnetic-

dipole contribution to the total dipole strength (D_{ij}) of a transition. For the ${}^7\text{F}_2(\text{E}) \leftarrow {}^5\text{D}_0(\text{A}_1)$ transition, $100(P_{ij})^2/D_{ij} > 99.9$ (percent electric-dipole contribution). For all of the other transitions, $100(M_{ij})^2/D_{ij} > 99.4$ (percent magnetic-dipole contribution).

4. Conclusion

This paper reports the first direct measurements of intrinsic chiroptical dissymmetry factors for enantiomeric species in a racemic mixture, and it reports the first determinations of electric- versus magnetic-dipole transition amplitude ratios based on chiroptical absorption and emission measurements.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a grant from the US National Science Foundation (NSF Grant CHE-9213473 to F.S.R.).

References

- 1 D.H. Metcalf, S.W. Snyder, J.N. Demas and F.S. Richardson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **112** (1990) 469.
- 2 F.S. Richardson and D.H. Metcalf, in K. Nakanishi, N. Berova and R. Woody, eds., *Circular Dichroism: Interpretation and Applications*, VCH, New York, in press.
- 3 D.H. Metcalf, S.W. Snyder, J.N. Demas and F.S. Richardson, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **94** (1990) 7143.
- 4 F.S. Richardson and J.P. Riehl, *Chem. Rev.*, **77** (1977) 773.
- 5 J.P. Riehl and F.S. Richardson, *Chem. Rev.*, **86** (1986) 1.
- 6 F.S. Richardson, *J. Less-Common Met.*, **149** (1989) 161.
- 7 J.P. Bolender, D.H. Metcalf and F.S. Richardson, unpublished results.